This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/003,122 11/14/2001		11/14/2001	Mike Dennis	OAE 306	5951	
23855	7590	590 11/07/2003		EXAMINER		
ROBERT		•	KAVANAUGH, JOHN T			
2007 S.E. GRANT STREET PORTLAND, OR 97214				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3728		
				DATE MAILED: 11/07/2003	B	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	æ
ress	
nmunication.	
merits is	
·.	
tage	
application).	

		Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/003,122	DENNIS ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Ted Kavanaugh	3728					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app							
Period fo			•					
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NC - Failu - Any rearne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed D) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	Pagagonius to appropriation(s) filed as 20.6)-t-b 2002						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 C</u>	-						
2a)⊠	,	is action is non-final.						
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 4 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4</u> is/are rejected.							
7)[
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language proceeds. The translation of the foreign language procedures to the translation of t	visional application has been	received.					
Attachment		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
2). D. Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e.of_Draftsperson's.Patent.Drawing.Review.(PTO-948)_ nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Infor	mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US 6195917 (Dieckhaus).

Dieckhaus teaches an insole comprising an accelerated-rate-sensitive, viscoelastic, non-springy cushioning layer (4; see col. 5, lines 14-33) and a moisture wicking layer (3) including elongated fibres (see col. 4, lines 55-67). The fibres inherently contribute to distributing the load.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dieckhaus '917 in view of [pages 1-7 of PORON 90, The Ultimate in Cushioning. The Ultimate in Performance] and [PORON 4000 Performance Urethanes –90 Series Typical Properties]. The last two references will be referred to as Rogers in the rejection below.

Application Number: 10/003122 Art Unit: 3728

To the extent that one finds the Poron foam of Dieckhaus doesn't have the same properties of applicant claimed invention the following rejection is applied. Rogers teaches an insole made out of the exact foamed Poron material used by applicant, see paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the instant application. Rogers teaches "PORON 90 controlled rebound products can be fabricated and **laminated with other materials** to create unique performance composites", bold face added. It would have been obvious to provide the Poron foamed layer (4) of Dieckhaus with the Poron foamed material, as taught by Rogers, to provide improved cushioning characteristics.

5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US
5319867 (Weber) in view of [pages 1-7 of PORON 90, The Ultimate in Cushioning. The
Ultimate in Performance] and [PORON 4000 Performance Urethanes –90 Series –
Typical Properties]. The last two references will be referred to as Rogers in the rejection below.

Weber teaches a moisture wicking layer (14) having elongated fibres (20,22) and a lower foam cushioning layer (12) substantially as claimed except for the foam layers having the characteristics as claimed. Rogers teaches an insole made out of the exact foamed Poron material used by applicant, see paragraph bridging pages 2 and 3 of the instant application. Rogers teaches "PORON 90 controlled rebound products can be fabricated and laminated with other materials to create unique performance composites", bold face added. It would have been obvious to replace the foam layer (12) of Weber with the Poron foamed material, as taught by Rogers, to provide improved cushioning characteristics. The fibres inherently contribute to distributing the load.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed Oct. 20, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention calls for a non-springiness limitation and the insole of Dieckhaus has "rebound (emphasis added) to it". Applicant's invention "is very different, in that it has no springiness, rebound characteristics."

In response, applicant's invention does have rebound to it, but it is not like a spring inasmuch as it has a slow recovery, see page 3, lines 6-19 of applicant's specification. The Poron layer 12 of applicant's "returns slowly". The same is true of Dieckhaus; see col. 5, lines 25-33. The materials have the same characteristics.

Conclusion

Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111. Moreover, "The prompt development of a clear issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims. Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06" MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the

advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (703) 872-9306 (FORMAL FAXES ONLY). Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account may also use it for filing papers that require a fee. Please identify Examiner Ted Kavanaugh of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Ted Kavanaugh whose telephone number is (703) 308-1244. The examiner can normally be reached on 6AM - 4PM.

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit.

Allowed Files & Publication
Assignment Branch
Certificates of Correction
Drawing Corrections/Draftsman
Fee Increase Questions
Petitions/Special Programs
Terminal Disclaimers
(703) 305-8322
(703) 305-8329
(703) 305-8309
(703) 305-8404/8335
(703) 305-5125
(703) 305-5125
(703) 305-9282

If the information desired is not provided above, or has been changed, please do not call the examiner (this is the latest information provided to him) but the general information help line below.

Information Help line Internet PTO-Home Page 1-800-786-9199 http://www.uspto.gov/ Application Number: 10/003122 Art Unit: 3728

> Ted Kavanaugh Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

TK November 5, 2003